

## Merten's Theorem

Though we have yet to prove any asymptotic results on  $\pi(x)$  we can prove such results for *weighted* sums over primes.

**Theorem 2.22 Merten's Theorem** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$  we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} = \log x + O(1), \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O(1), \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + O(1). \quad (18)$$

The last result is an improvement of Theorem 1 in Chapter 1, a lower bound on the summation..

**Proof.** The integral part of  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and satisfies  $y - 1 < [y] \leq y$ . Thus, since  $\Lambda(n) \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \left( \frac{x}{n} - 1 \right) \leq \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \left[ \frac{x}{n} \right] \leq \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \frac{x}{n}.$$

This can be rearranged as

$$0 \leq x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} - \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \left[ \frac{x}{n} \right] \leq \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) = O(x),$$

by a weak form of Chebyshev's Theorem. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} &= \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \left[ \frac{x}{n} \right] + O(x) \\ &= (x \log x - x + O(\log x)) + O(x), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.12. Dividing by  $x$  gives the **first result**

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} = \log x + O(1). \quad (19)$$

For the **second result**, (17), we just need check that the contribution to the sum in the left hand side of (19) from the powers of primes can be absorbed into the  $O(1)$  error term. Split off the prime powers as in

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} = \sum_{p^k \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p^k} = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p^k \leq x \\ k \geq 2}} \frac{\log p}{p^k}.$$

In the second sum here, for each prime  $p$  the range of  $k$  is  $2 \leq k \leq (\log x) / (\log p)$ . At the cost of making it larger we extend this to **all**  $k \geq 2$ , and sum the resulting geometric series as

$$\sum_{\substack{p^k \leq x \\ k \geq 2}} \frac{\log p}{p^k} \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{p^k} \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \frac{1/p^2}{1 - 1/p} = \sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p^2 - p},$$

on summing the geometric series. At the cost of making it larger again we extend this sum over **primes**  $2 \leq p \leq x$  to a sum over all **integers**  $n \geq 2$ . That is,

$$\sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p^2 - p} \leq \sum_{2 \leq n} \frac{\log n}{n(n-1)} = O(1)$$

since this is a convergent series (by comparison, for example, with  $\sum_{n \geq 1} 1/n^{3/2}$  which can be summed using Corollary 2.10). Hence we get the second result

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} + O(1) = \log x + O(1)$$

by using the first result.

Finally, the method of Partial Summation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} &= \sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} \frac{1}{\log p} \\
&= \sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} \left( \frac{1}{\log x} - \left( \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{1}{\log p} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} + \sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} \int_p^x \frac{dt}{t \log^2 t} \\
&= \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} + \int_2^x \sum_{2 \leq p \leq t} \frac{\log p}{p} \frac{dt}{t \log^2 t} \tag{20} \\
&= \frac{\log x + O(1)}{\log x} + \int_2^x \frac{(\log t + O(1))}{t \log^2 t} dt
\end{aligned}$$

by part ii (21)

$$= O(1) + \log \log x + O\left(\int_2^x \frac{1}{t \log^2 t} dt\right).$$

This final integral converges, (change variables to  $w = \log t$  to see this more obviously). Thus we have the final result. ■

## Merten's Theorems extended

In Theorem 22 we proved that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + O(1), \quad (22)$$

for real  $x$ . We earlier proved

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + O(1),$$

which we then extended to

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

with the Euler-Mascheroni constant  $\gamma$ .

It is straightforward to extend (22) in the same way.

**Theorem 2.23** *There exists a constant  $D$  such that*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + D + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof** Write Merten's result as

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + \varepsilon(x)$$

where  $|\varepsilon(x)| < C$  for some constant  $C$ . Partial summation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} &= \frac{\log x + \varepsilon(x)}{\log x} + \int_2^x \frac{(\log t + \varepsilon(t))}{t \log^2 t} dt \\ &= 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) + \log \log x - \log \log 2 + \int_2^x \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt \\ &= \log \log x + 1 - \log \log 2 + \int_2^\infty \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt - \\ &\quad - \int_x^\infty \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have applied the standard method of completing the convergent integral up to infinity. We now bound the tail end by

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt \ll \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{t \log^2 t}.$$

Change variables to  $w = \log t$ , so  $dw = dt/t$ , and the integral becomes

$$\int_{\log x}^\infty \frac{dw}{w^2} = \frac{1}{\log x}.$$

Putting this together we obtain the required result with

$$D = 1 - \log \log 2 + \int_2^\infty \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt.$$

■

Theorem 2.23 is saying that the following limit exists,

$$D = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \log \log x \right).$$

The limit is of little practical help, though, in calculating  $D$  since the convergence is *extremely* slow. It can be shown that numerically  $D \approx 0.261497212\dots$

The Euler product  $\prod_p (1 - 1/p)$  diverges (to 0). In fact, in Problem Sheet 1 you were asked to show that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\log x}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . There is a more exact result,

**Theorem 2.24 Merten.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) &= \frac{C}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right) \\ &= \frac{C}{\log x} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof** Taking logarithms of the finite product,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) &= \sum_{p \leq x} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &= -\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{p \leq x} \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As noted in Chapter 1, this latter sum is dominated by

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p(p-1)},$$

which converges. So we can complete the sum up to infinity (call it  $b$ ) and bound the error in doing so. That is,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\right) = b + \sum_{p > x} \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

where it can be shown that  $b = -0.315718452\dots$ . In the last sum use the inequality from Chapter 1,

$$0 < -\log(1-x) - x < \frac{x^2}{(1-x)}$$

for  $0 < x < 1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p > x} \left| \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} \right| &\ll \sum_{p > x} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{n > x} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) &= -\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} + b + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \\ &= -\log \log x - D + b + \eta(x), \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 2.23, and where  $\eta(x)$  is an error term  $< C/\log x$  for some  $C > 0$ . Exponentiate to get

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{\log x} e^{-D+b} e^{\eta(x)}. \quad (23)$$

What does  $\exp(\eta(x))$  represent? A result used in the second year analysis course was that

$$|y| < 1/2 \implies |e^y - 1| < 2|y|.$$

If we demand  $x$  is so large that  $C/\log x < 1/2$ , then  $|\eta(x)| < 1/2$  in which case

$$|e^{\eta(x)} - 1| < 2|\eta(x)| = O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

that is

$$e^{\eta(x)} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right).$$

Combine to get the stated result with  $C = e^{-D+b}$ . ■

**Note**

$$-D + b = -0.2614972128\dots - 0.315718452\dots = -0.577215664\dots,$$

and

$$C = e^{-D+b} = 0.5614594\dots$$

You might think that  $-D+b$  looks suspiciously like  $-\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant, and it can be shown that this is so (but not here). This would mean that Theorem 2.24 should really read

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right).$$